

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2019

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level In Statistics S1 (WST01/01)

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.edexcel.com, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2019
Publications Code WST01_01_1906_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2019

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively.
 Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

PEARSON EDEXCEL IAL MATHEMATICS

General Instructions for Marking

- 1. The total number of marks for the paper is 75
- 2. The Edexcel Mathematics mark schemes use the following types of marks:
- M marks: Method marks are awarded for 'knowing a method and attempting to apply it', unless otherwise indicated.
- A marks: Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
- **B** marks are unconditional accuracy marks (independent of M marks)
- Marks should not be subdivided.

3. Abbreviations

These are some of the traditional marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- bod benefit of doubt
- ft follow through
- the symbol $\sqrt{}$ will be used for correct ft
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only. There must be no errors in this part of the question to obtain this mark
- isw ignore subsequent working
- awrt answers which round to
- SC: special case
- oe or equivalent (and appropriate)
- d... or dep dependent
- indep independent
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- ★ The answer is printed on the paper or ag- answer given
- C or d... The second mark is dependent on gaining the first mark

- 4. All A marks are 'correct answer only' (cao.), unless shown, for example, as A1 ft to indicate that previous wrong working is to be followed through. After a misread however, the subsequent A marks affected are treated as A ft, but manifestly absurd answers should never be awarded A marks.
- 5. For misreading which does not alter the character of a question or materially simplify it, deduct two from any A or B marks gained, in that part of the question affected.
- 6. Ignore wrong working or incorrect statements following a correct answer.


Special notes for marking Statistics exams (for AAs only)

- If a method leads to "probabilities" which are greater than 1 or less than 0 then M0 should be awarded unless the mark scheme specifies otherwise.
- Any correct method should gain credit. If you cannot see how to apply
 the mark scheme but believe the method to be correct then please send
 to review.
- For method marks, we generally allow or condone a slip or transcription error if these are seen in an expression. We do not, however, condone or allow these errors in accuracy marks.
- If a candidate is "hedging their bets" e.g. give Attempt 1...Attempt 2...etc then please send to review.

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
1 (a)	$\overline{x} = \frac{58}{40} = 1.45$	B1
	$\sigma^2 = \frac{84.829}{40} - 1.45^2$	M1
	= 0.018225 = awrt 0.0182	A1 (3)
(b)	New mean = $\underline{145}$ New $\sigma = \underline{13.5}$	B1ft B1 (2)
(c)(i) (ii)	Reason e.g. mean of two extra children is the same as the original mean Conclusion the mean is therefore unchanged or = $\underline{145}$ Reason e.g. extra children more than 1 sd from mean so increased spread Conclusion therefore standard deviation will increase	M1 A1 M1 A1 (4)
	Notes	[>]
(a)	B1 for a correct mean (accept an exact fraction) M1 for a correct expression for σ^2 (or s^2) (ft their mean and condone inside square root) A1 for awrt 0.0182 (NB $s^2 = 0.0186923$ awrt 0.0187) Correct ans only 2/2 [No fraction]	
(b)	1 st B1ft for new mean = 145 or $100 \times$ their \overline{x} 2 nd B1 for new s.d. = awrt 13.5 (accept $s = 13.6719$ or awrt 13.7)	
(c)(i)	1st M1 for a suitable reason. May see recalculation e.g. "145"×40+130+160/42 (o.e.) e.g. "both 15 away from the mean" or "both same distance from the mean" or "mean of new values is 145 or the same" 1st A1 for 145 or 1.45 or "no change" but M1 must be seen [no further comment needed if answer matches their (b) or (a)]	
(ii)	2^{nd} M1 for a suitable reason but must have idea that the "gap" (= 15) > 1 st. dev. [ft σ < 15] 2^{nd} A1 for stating standard deviation will be <u>greater</u> (o.e.) [M1 must be seen] Calculations (You may see) e.g. $\Sigma y^2 = 84.829 + 1.3^2 + 1.6^2 = 89.079$ leading to $\sigma = \sqrt{0.01842} = 0.13575$ or $\frac{13.6}{40}$ (cm) or $\frac{89.079}{42} = 2.1209 > \frac{84.829}{40} = 2.1207$ but $\frac{\sum x}{n}$ stays the same so σ greater BUT M0A0 unless we see mention of 15 (cm) or 1.5 (m) being more than 1 sd	
		2 2 2

2. (a)(i) IQR = 47 − 33 = 14	Question Number	Scheme	Marl	ks
(c) 25 → 37 ⇒ new Q₁ = 35 (may be on box plot) [54 → 60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q₂] New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now [> 47 + 18 = 65 or] < 35 − 18 = 17 Box Plot A1	2. (a)(i)	[IQR = 47 - 33 =] 14	B1	
(b) e.g. Q ₂ -Q ₁ (=9)>(5=)Q ₃ -Q ₂ Therefore negative (skew) (c) 25→37 ⇒ new Q ₁ = 35 (may be on box plot) [54→60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q ₃] New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now >47 + 18 = 65 \text{ or} < 35 - 18 = 17 Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (e) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1f for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3nd A1 for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3nd A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that price is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(ii)	[Range = $54 - 11 =$] <u>43</u>	B1	
Therefore negative (skew) A1 (c) 25 → 37 ⇒ new Q ₁ = 35 (may be on box plot) [54 → 60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q ₃] New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now [> 47 + 18 = 65 or] < 35 - 18 = 17 Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 A1 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported A1 (2) [13] Notes (a)(i) 1 st B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1 st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1 st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2 nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2 nd A1 for othory quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3 rd A1 for lower quartile at 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4 th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1 st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"				(2)
Therefore negative (skew) (c) 25 → 37 ⇒ new Q ₁ = 35 (may be on box plot) [54 → 60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q ₂] New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now > 47 + 18 = 65 or < 35 - 18 = 17 Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 A1 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported A1 (2) [13] Notes (a)(i) 1 st B1 for 14 2 nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1 st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1 st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2 nd A1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2 nd A1 for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3 nd A1 for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3 nd A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1 st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(b)	e.g. $Q_2 - Q_1 (=9) > (5=)Q_3 - Q_2$	M1	
(c) 25 → 37 ⇒ new Q_1 = 35 (may be on box plot) [54 → 60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q_3] New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now [> 47 + 18 = 65 or] < 35 - 18 = 17 Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 A1 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (e) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3nd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (for 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"			A1	
(c) 25 → 37 ⇒ new Q₁ = 35 (may be on box plot) [54 → 60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q₃] New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now [> 47 + 18 = 65 or] < 35 − 18 = 17 Box Plot Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 A1 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported A1 (2) Notes (a)(i) 1 st B1 for 14 2 nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1 st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1 st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2 nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2 nd A1f for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3 nd A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1 st M1A1 (i.e. 57)) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or −0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to −1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"		_ \		(2)
[54 → 60 (implies upper whisker now at 60) but no change to Q ₃ New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers M1	(c)	$25 \rightarrow 37 \implies \text{new } Q_1 = 35 \pmod{\text{plot}}$	B1	
New IQR = 12 so need to re-calculate for outliers Outliers now [> 47 + 18 = 65 or] < 35 - 18 = 17 Box Plot Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Alft Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 A1 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported A1 (2) [13] Notes (a)(i) 1 ¹⁸ B1 for 14 2 nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1 ¹⁸ M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1 ¹⁸ A1 for at least the correct lover limit of 17 seen 2 nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2 nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3 nd A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1 st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"				
Outliers now [>47 + 18 = 65 or] < 35 - 18 = 17 Box Plot Box Plot Box All Box Plot Box And two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (= 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 All The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Al (2) Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2st B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2st A1 for olwer quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3st A1 for lower quartiles at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4st A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	M1	
Box Plot Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (= 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Alft Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 Al (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Al (2) [13] Notes (a)(i) 1st Bl for 14 2nd Bl for 43 (b) Ml for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) Al for negative skew (dep on Ml seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) Bl for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st Ml for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st Al for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd Alft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3nd Al for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th Al for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st MlA1 (i.e. 5/7) this MlA1 requires some working (d) Ml for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 Al for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"				
Box and two whiskers with median still at 42 Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or − 0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to − 1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"				
Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or − 0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to − 1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"		50 60 70		
Lower quartile at their 35 (≠ 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or − 0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to − 1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"		Box and two whiskers with median still at 42	M1	
Two outliers at 11 and 15 Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60 (d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3nd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or - 0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to - 1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"				
(d) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"			A1	
(a) The value of pmcc is small or weak correlation (o.e.) Therefore the complaint is not supported Notes Notes (a) (i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"		Lower whisker at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker at 60	A1	
Therefore the complaint is not supported [13] Notes (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(1)		3.51	(7)
(a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or - 0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to - 1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(d)			
(a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"		Therefore the complaint is <u>not</u> supported		` ′
 (a)(i) 1st B1 for 14 2nd B1 for 43 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim" 			[13]	
 (b) M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc) A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim" 	() (*)			
A1 for negative skew (dep on M1 seen) "left skew" etc is A0 [Condone incorrect "9" or "5"] (c) B1 for new lower quartile at 35 (stated or on box plot) 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(a)(1)			
 1st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) and upper whisker ending at 60 Correct box plot scores all except 1st M1A1 (i.e. 5/7) this M1A1 requires some working (d) M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is not enough) Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim" 	(b)	M1 for a suitable reason or calculation (allow longer whisker on left etc)		"5"]
Allow e.g. "not significant" or "not relevant" or -0.5 < r < 0.5 or "not close to -1" but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(c)	1 st M1 for finding the new IQR (< 14) and attempting to re-calculate for outliers 1 st A1 for at least the correct lower limit of 17 seen 2 nd M1 for drawing a box with only two whiskers and median at 42 (all points ± 0.5 square) 2 nd A1ft for lower quartile of "35" (changed from 33) and upper quartile unchanged at 47 3 rd A1 for only two outliers at 11 and 15 (no overlap with whisker) 4 th A1 for lower whisker ending at 18 (or 17) <u>and</u> upper whisker ending at 60		
but "no correlation" is M0 A1 for suggesting the complaint is <u>not</u> supported e.g. "little evidence to support claim"	(d)	M1 for comment that pmcc is "small" so little correlation (just saying < 0 is no	ot enough)
			ose to – 1	- 66
		A1 for suggesting the complaint is not supported e.g. "little evidence to suppo	rt claim"	
		Dep on M1 seen NB M1A0 is possible		

Question		
Number	Scheme	Marks
3. (a)	0.02 and $0.98 - p$ correctly placed [no mixing of % and probability]	B1
	0.96 and 0.05 plus $1-q$, 0.04 , 0.95 correctly placed	B1
(L)	D(T) 0.02 . 0.06 . (0.09) 0.05 0.160	(2)
(b)	$P(T) = pq + 0.02 \times 0.96 + (0.98 - p) \times 0.05 = 0.169$ $\{ pq - 0.05p = 0.1008 \}$	M1; A1
	P(do not have disease T) = $\frac{"(0.98 - p)" \times 0.05}{0.169} = \frac{41}{169}$	M1A1ft
	So $p = 0.16$	A1
	e.g. $0.16q -0.16 \times 0.05 = 0.1008$	dM1
	$q = {\bf 0.68}$	A1 (7)
	na 0 1088	(7)
(c)(i)	P(type $A \mid T$ and not type B) = $\frac{pq}{pq + (0.98 - p) \times 0.05} = \frac{0.1088}{0.1088 + 0.041}$	M1A1ft
	= 0.7263 awrt 0.726	A1
		(3)
(ii)	Should find test useful, doctor knows there is a much greater chance that the	B1
(11)	person has type A (0.73 compared to 0.16 or 0.163[from $\frac{0.16}{0.98}$])	
		[13] (1)
	Notes	[13]
(a)	1^{st} B1 for remainder of 1^{st} column probabilities (allow use of correct p so 0.82	2)
	2^{nd} B1 for remainder of 2^{nd} column probabilities (allow use of correct q so 0.68 and 0.32)	
(h.)	In (b) or (c) if p or q are used as ft in M or A marks they must be prob	
(b)	1^{st} M1 for attempt to form eq'n in p and q using $P(T) = 0.169$ [at least 2 of 3 correct prod's] 1^{st} A1 for a fully correct equation in p and q or possibly just q (using their p see 3^{rd} M1)	
	2^{nd} M1 for use of a conditional prob (ratio of probabilities with num or den correct equation in p and q of possion just q (using their p see	
	on num) and $\frac{41}{169}$ to form an equation in p	
	2 nd A1ft for a correct equation using values from their tree diagram	
	3^{rd} A1 for solving to get $p = 0.16$ (or exact equivalent)	
	3^{rd} dM1 (dep on 1^{st} M1) for substituting their p into an equation for q (ft their p	value)
	4^{th} A1 for $q = 0.68$ (or exact equivalent)	
(c)(i)	M1 for an attempt at a conditional prob with numerator of their pq (num <	
	1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (ft their values for p or q with at least one of 2nd A1 $\frac{1}{2}$	correct)
	2^{nd} A1 for awrt 0.726 (or exact fraction $\frac{544}{749}$)	
(ii)	B1 If $(c)(i) < 0.7$ then B0 for suggesting test should be useful (accept "yes") plus statement:	
()	about increased prob $\underline{\text{or}}$ "more likely to have type A than no disease" $\underline{\text{or}}$ "prob	



Question Number	Scheme	Marks	
4. (a)			
	$P(W < 450) = P\left(Z < \frac{450 - 510}{45}\right) \text{ or } P(Z < -1.3333)$	M1	
	= 1 - 0.9082 $= 0.0918$	M1 A1 (3)	
(b)	$[P(W > d) = 0.05 \text{ implies}]$ $\frac{d - 510}{45} = 1.6449$	M1B1	
	d = 584.0205 awrt 584	A1 (3)	
(c)	$P(W > 450 \mid W < "584.02") = \frac{P(450 < W < "584.02")}{P(W < "584.02")}$	M1	
	$= \frac{0.95 - "0.0918"}{0.95} \underline{\text{or}} \frac{"0.9082" - 0.05}{0.95}$	M1A1	
	= 0.903368 awrt <u>0.904</u> or <u>0.903</u>	A1 (4)	
(d)	$\left(\frac{19}{20}\right)^4 \times \frac{1}{20} \times 5$	M1dM1	
	= 0.203626 awrt <u>0.204</u>	A1 (3)	
	Notes	[13]	
(a)	Correct answer only in (a), (c) or (d) scores all the marks for that part		
(b)	B1 for use of $z = \pm 1.6449$ or better (calc 1.644853626) A1 for awrt 584 (calc 584.0184)		
Ans only (c)	[awrt 584.02 scores 3/3 584 scores M1B0A1] 1st M1 for a correct ratio of probability expressions ft their answer to (b) where (b) > 450		
	2 nd M1 for numerator of awrt 0.95 – their answer to (a) 1 st A1 for a correct denominator of awrt 0.95 (dep on M1M1) NB a correct ratio of probabilities will score the 1 st 3 marks 2 nd A1 for awrt 0.904 or awrt 0.903		
(d)	1 st M1 for $k p^4 (1-p)$ for any positive integer k and any probability p (allow $k = 1$) 2 nd dM1 for $k = 5$ A1 for awrt 0.204		

Quest Numl		Scheme	Marks	
5.	(a)	$E(X) = -2p - p + 0 + \frac{1}{2} + 3p$; $= \frac{1}{2}$	M1; A1	
	(b)	$E(X^{2}) = 4p + p + 0 + 1 + 9p = [14p + 1]$ $[Var(X) =] E(X^{2}) - [E(X)]^{2} = 14p + 1 - (\frac{1}{2})^{2}$	(2) M1A1 dM1	
		So $14p + 0.75 = 2.5$	M1	
		$p = \frac{1}{8}$	A1	
		2	(5)	
	(c)	Sum of probabilities = 1 implies $q = \frac{3}{8}$	B1ft	
	(d)	P(Amar wins) = e.g. $P(X_1 > 0) + P(X_1 < 0) \times P([X_1 + X_2] > 0\{ X_1 < 0\})$ or $P(X_1 = 2 \text{ or } 3) + P(X_1 = -2) \times P(X_2 = 3) + P(X_1 = -1) \times P(X_2 = 2 \text{ or } 3)$	(1) M1	
		<u>Cases</u> $X_1 = -2$ and $X_2 = 3$ so probability $= p^2$ $X_1 = -1$ and $X_2 \ge 2$ so probability $= p(p + \frac{1}{4})$	M1	
		Total probability = $p + 0.25 + p^2 + p(p + 0.25) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{32}$	A1ft	
		$=\frac{7}{16}$	A1	
	(e)	[Although $E(X) > 0$ since] $P(win) < 0.5$ Amar should not play the game <u>or</u> "disagree"	(4) M1 A1 (2)	
		Notes	[14]	
	(a)	Notes M1 for a correct expr'n for $E(X)$ in p (at least 3 non-zero terms seen). May be implied by A1 A1 for $\frac{1}{2}$ (or exact equivalent e.g. $\frac{2}{4}$ or 0.5)		
	(b)	get $p = \frac{3}{28}$, $q = \frac{3}{28}$ Al for $p = \frac{1}{8}$ or exact equivalent e.g. 0.125 get $p = \frac{3}{28}$, $q = \frac{3}{28}$ and if they get	If they think $E(X^2) = Var(X)$ get $p = \frac{3}{28}$, $q = \frac{3}{7}$ and up to (b) M1A1M0M1A0 (c) B1ft and if they get $\frac{319}{784}$ in (d) it implies M1M1A1A0 there and access to (e)	
	(c)	D16 for 3 on one of control of a 275 on 3 2 on 0 and 1		
	(d)	1 st M1 for identifying only the correct cases (any correct list, adding not needed) 2 nd M1 for identifying all the cases where a 2 nd spin is required <u>and</u> probabilities	and probabilities (no extras)	
AL'	Т	1 st A1ft for correct expression for total probability (allow their $0 or letter p) 2nd A1 for \frac{7}{16} (or exact equivalent e.g. 0.4375) [\frac{7}{16} with no incorrect working seen gets 4/4] Allow P(loses) = q + p(1 - p) + p (0.75 – p) only if 1 – P(loses) is seen$		
	(e)	M1 for identifying that the important feature is that P(win) < 0.5 (o.e.) [ft their $\frac{7}{16}$ < 0.5] A1cao for concluding that he shouldn't play the game (dep on M1 seen & 0.375 < (d) < 0.5)		

_	stion nber	Scheme	Marks
6.	(a)	$\left[\sum y = 16 \times 20.5 = 328\right] S_{yy} = 8266 - \frac{328^2}{16}$	M1
		= 1542 (allow awrt 1540)	A1
		$[r =] \frac{-630.9}{\sqrt{368.16 \times "1542"}}$	M1
		= -0.837336 awrt -0.837	A1 (4)
	(b)	As the distance from the hospital increases the percentage of referrals decreases (o.e.) e.g. smaller % of patients attend from clinics further away	B1
	(c)	e.g. Points close to a straight line (of negative gradient) so does support belief	B1 (1)
	(d)	$b = \frac{-630.9}{368.16} [= -1.7136]$ $a = 20.5 - "-1.7136" \times 8.1 [= 34.3806]$	M1
		$a = 20.5 - "-1.7136" \times 8.1 [= 34.3806]$ y = 34.38 1.7136x $y = 34.4 - 1.71x$	M1 A1, A1 (4)
	(e)	[On average] each km further from the hospital reduces the % attendance by 1.7%	B1
	(f)	Correct line drawn on scatter diagram (use overlay within guidelines)	B1 (1)
	(g)	Correct point circled (3.2,19) [Allow coords stated instead of point circled but if both, prioritise circled point]	(1) B1 (1) [13]
		Notes	[10]
	(a)	1^{st} M1 for an attempt at a correct expression for S_{yy} (ft their 328 provided intention is Σy) 1^{st} A1 for 1542 (allow awrt 1540 it leads to $r = -0.83788$ and scores 2^{nd} A0) 2^{nd} M1 for a correct expression for r (ft their S_{yy} but use of 8266 is M0 here) 2^{nd} A1 for awrt -0.837 (ans only 4/4; awrt -0.838 M1A1M1A0; -0.84 M1A0M1A0)	
	(b)	B1 for an interpretation of negative correlation <u>in context</u> (just "strong neg correlation" B0)	
	(c)	B1 for "points close to a straight line" <u>and</u> stating does support manager's belief <u>or</u> allow "r is close to – 1" <u>or</u> "strong (negative) correlation" <u>and</u> supports manager's claim <u>or</u> for a curve drawn on scatter diagram <u>and</u> comment that non-linear model may be better	
	(d)	1^{st} M1 for a correct expression for b 2^{nd} M1 for a correct expression for a (ft their value of b or even letter b in correct formula) 1^{st} A1 (dep on 1^{st} M1) for $b = \text{awrt} - 1.71$ in an equation in y and x (no fractions) 2^{nd} A1 (dep on 2^{nd} M1) for $a = \text{awrt}$ 34.4 in an equation in y and x	
	(e)	B1 for a comment with their b (<0) relating distance from hospital to % attendance/referrals Allow "as distance increases by 1 the % referrals decreases by 1.7" (o.e.)	
	(f)	B1 for drawing the line on scatter diagram (within guidelines of overlay-check both graphs)	
	(g)	B1 for correct point on scatter diagram circled (more than one point circled is B0)	